

## Solving General LPs via MWU

Recall the MWU algorithm:

MWU( $\epsilon$ ):

$$w_i^1 \leftarrow 1 \quad \text{for every expert } i$$

For  $t=1 \dots T$ :

$$\text{Follow expert } i \text{ with prob. } p_i^t = \frac{w_i^t}{\sum_j w_j^t}$$

$$\text{After } L^t \text{ is revealed, } w_i^{t+1} \leftarrow w_i^t (1 - \epsilon L_i^t) \quad \text{for all } i$$

$$L_{\text{MWU}} := \sum_{t=1}^T \langle p^t, L^t \rangle \quad \text{our total expected loss}$$

$$L_i := \sum_{t=1}^T L_i^t \quad \text{expert } i\text{'s total loss}$$

Thm: For  $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , MWU( $\epsilon$ ) guarantees that

$$L_{\text{MWU}} \leq L_i + \underbrace{\epsilon T}_{\# \text{ days}} + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon} \quad \forall i \quad \# \text{ experts}$$

Exercise: If we can solve this Feasibility Problem we can solve any LP. (Hint: use binary search).

We'll solve an approximate version of the feasibility problem. Given  $A \in [-1, 1]^{m \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\text{Find } x \in \Delta_n$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax \leq b + 2\epsilon \mathbf{1}$$

or return that no  $x \in \Delta_n$  satisfies  $Ax \leq b$

Thm: we can solve this feasibility problem in

$$O(\text{nnz}(A) \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon^2})$$

# nonzeros in A

Let  $L = (L_1, \dots, L_n)$  be the loss vector

for all  $n$  experts.

Cor: For any distribution of experts  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  (i.e.,  $z_i \geq 0$  &  $\sum z_i = 1$ ) we have

$$L_{\text{MWU}} \leq \langle L, z \rangle + \epsilon T + \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n L_i \cdot z_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n L_j \cdot z_i = L_j$$

$\hookrightarrow j$  is best expert

Let  $\Delta_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n \mid \mathbf{1} \cdot x = 1\}$  be the set of all prob. distributions over  $n$  objects.

### Feasibility Problem

Suppose we are given  $A \in [-1, 1]^{m \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\text{Find } x \in \Delta_n$$

$$\text{s.t. } Ax \leq b$$

or return that there is no such  $x$ .

A whack-a-Mole Algorithm

- keep fixing a violated constraint.

Algorithm:

Initialize  $w^1 \leftarrow \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Maintain  $x^t = \frac{w^t}{W^t}$  where  $W^t = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^t$

For  $t=1 \dots T$  where  $T = \frac{\ln n}{\epsilon^2}$ :

- If  $Ax^t \leq b + 2\epsilon \mathbf{1}$  return  $x = x^t$ .

- Else there is a constraint  $i_t \in [m]$

where  $A_{(i_t, \cdot)} x^t > b + 2\epsilon$ :

$$w_j^{t+1} \leftarrow w_j^t (1 - \epsilon A_{i_t, j}) \quad \forall j \in [n]$$

Return no feasible  $x$ .

Observe that the algorithm runs in the claimed time.

Lemma: If there exists a feasible  $x^* \in \Delta_n$  with  $Ax \leq b$ , then the algorithm returns  $x \in \Delta_n$  s.t.  $Ax \leq b + 2\epsilon \mathbb{1}$ .

pf: Suppose for contradiction that the algorithm returns 'no feasible  $x$ '.

Observe that the Whack-a-Male algorithm implements MWU( $\epsilon$ ):

- experts = variables
- we choose the dist'n  $x_t = w_t / W^t$
- Take the loss vector to be  $l^t = A_{(i_t, \cdot)}$

On day  $t$ ,

The loss of MWU is  $\langle x^t, l^t \rangle = \langle x^t, A_{i_t} \rangle > b_{i_t} + 2\epsilon$ .

But we know that  $\langle x^*, l^t \rangle \leq b_{i_t}$ .

Sum over all  $T$  days. We get:  $> 2\epsilon$

$$L_{MWU} - \langle L, x^* \rangle = \sum_{t=1}^T \langle x^t, l^t \rangle - \langle x^*, l^t \rangle > 2\epsilon T.$$

On the other hand, we know from the no regret bound for MWU that

$$L_{MWU} - \langle L, x^* \rangle \leq \epsilon T + \frac{\log n}{\epsilon} \leq 2\epsilon T$$

$$\frac{\log n}{\epsilon} \leq \epsilon T \Leftrightarrow \log n \leq \epsilon^2 T$$

Suppose  $A \in [-\rho, \rho]^{m \times n}$ ,  $x \in \Sigma \cdot \Delta_n$

The same algorithm works but it runs in time

$$O(nnz(A) \cdot \log n \left(\frac{\rho \Sigma}{\epsilon}\right)^2)$$

Remark: There are techniques for removing the dependency on  $\rho$  &  $\Sigma$ . These are called "width independent MWU". But we don't cover them.

$$A \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{\Sigma}} A' \rightarrow A'x < b' + 2\epsilon \mathbb{1}$$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
 $m \times n$   $m \times n$   
 $[-\rho, \rho]$   $[-1, 1]$

$$Ax < b + 2\epsilon \Sigma \mathbb{1}$$